

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,517	03/29/2002	Karl Haberle	220508USOPCT	4670	
22850 7.	590 10/02/2003		EXAM	INER	
	OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1621		
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/200	3 /	
				6	
	•	·	•	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• 4		Application No.	Applicant(s)
·		10/088,517	HABERLE 8	ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		MICHAEL L. SH		
eriod for	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	n appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresponder	nce address
A SHO THE M Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any ref	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, end for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by all y received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, howon. a reply within the statutory miperiod will apply and will expire statute. Cause the application is	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be consider SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 1	133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or			
, —	/	This action is non-f		
,	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un of Claims	allowance except for f inder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	ormal matters, prosecution a , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 21	as to the merits is 3.
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi		ration.	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		,	
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ement.	
	on Papers			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)☐ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	1.85(a).
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) appro	/ed b) disapproved by the	Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are require	d in reply to this Office a	ction.	
12) 🔲 🏾	he oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
,-	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been re	ceived.	
	2.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc			<u> </u>
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for the act	ne priority documents nal Bureau (PCT Rule	have been received in this Ne 17.2(a)).	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for de			visional application
а	The translation of the foreign langua	age provisional applica	ation has been received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	iomesuc priority under	35 0.0.0. 35 125 dilator 12	
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	4) [948) 5) [=	
3) 🛛 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	· No(s) <u>3</u> . 6) [_ Other: .	

Application/Control Number: 10/088,517

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1121

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as failing to particularly point out the claimed invention. The "if desired" phrases found in the claims render the claims indefinite. It unclear if this requires some kind of mental step. If the one carries out the claimed invention without the required desire, would this be outside the purview of the claim? It is suggested that an "optionally" type phrase be used in place of the "if desired" phrases.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action. It is noted that the prior art of record is not suggestive of polycarbodiimide compounds derived from amino carboxylic acids.

Conclusion

The references are cited as of interest.

¹ The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 112 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,517

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael L. Shippen** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-4635**. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1235**. The official group FAX machine number is **(703) 308-4556**.

MShippen September 30, 2003

> MÍCHAEL L. SHIPPEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1621

Page 3